

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/084,989	03/01/2002	Cheng Chi Wang	4459-079	1134	
75	90 06/17/2004		EXAM	INER	
LOWE HAUPTMAN GILMAN & BERNER, LLP			DUONG,	DUONG, THOI V	
Suite 310 1700 Diagonal I	Road		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Alexandria, VA			2871		
			DATE MAILED: 06/17/200	DATE MAILED: 06/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
,			
Advisory Action	10/084,989	WANG, CHENG CHI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	nu
The MAN INC. DATE Col.	Thoi V Duong	2871	
THE REPLY FILED 01 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	HIS APPLICATION IN CONDITIC void abandonment of this applical) a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ON FOR ALLOWANC ation. A proper reply h places the applicati	E. to a ion in
5-7	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>03</u> months from the mailing does not be period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAY 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offilled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.1	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for replying later than three months after the mail	ng date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. S FR 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The appropriation of the final C	n. See MPEP priate extension priate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search (	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or sim	plifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims	<b>5.</b>
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	d be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed a	amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT	Γ place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	` ' ' <del></del>	·—	nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,5-8,12,17,18,22 and 23</u> .		N	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		11	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme			/
10. Other:	, , ,	ROBERT H. KII	M.
	S	UPERVISORY PATENT TECHNOLOGY CENTE	EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

**Application No. 10/084,989** 

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed limitations "wherein the first layer of molybdenum has a thickness of about 150 to 700 Angstroms, the Ag-Al alloy has a thickness of about 1000 to 3000 Angstroms and the second layer of molybdenum has a thickness of about 300 to 1000 Angstroms" recited in claim 1, "while being observed at wavelength 550 nm" recited in claims 6 and 22, and "wherein the layer of molybdenum has a thickness of about 150 to 700 Angstroms and the Ag-Al alloy layer has a thickness of about 1000 to 5000 Angstroms" recited in claim 17 raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.